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Introduction

Predatory publishing, a destructive phenomenon that has been highlighted and discussed since 2011, is the consequence of the gold (author-pays) open access publishing model [1]. Predatory journals are money-making stations characterized by charging publication fees and an absence of ‘true’ peer review [2]. These journals and publishers have grown to a very large number in recent years [2,3]. The general assumption is that the most common victims of such journals are young, naive, early career researchers, especially from developing countries, but this may not always be the case [3-5]. Recent evidences suggest that predatory publishing is a global phenomenon affecting authors from both developing and developed countries, and even experienced authors get duped [5,6]. How can we deal with these publishers and how can authors avoid getting duped by them? This essay presents a few incidents to build on for answering these questions.

Story of a Victim of a Predatory Journal

My first paper was published in December 2014 in a predatory journal without my approval. Although the journal was very new, it claimed to be an international, open access journal with a high impact factor, broad indexing, and a rigorous peer review. The title of the paper published in that journal was “Perceptions, practices, and use of Facebook: a cross-sectional survey on physiotherapy students in Pakistan.” Within 2 weeks of submission, the reviewer’s comments were received, which did not add anything to improve the content of the manuscript, and the article was accepted with an invoice for article processing charges. I did not agree to pay anything to the journal (since the fee was not disclosed ahead of time), nor did I sign a copyright agreement with them. Being unaware of this phenomenon, I was duped at the beginning of my publishing career, and the paper was published in the predatory journal without my consent. I asked myself, “Why did I get duped?” and I started to seek answers to this question. There were a variety of obvious reasons for this, including a lack of proper training and education about publishing, continuous rejections from legitimate journals, and pressure to have the study quickly published in an international, indexed, high impact-factor journal. So, how did I find out that the
How to handle papers published in predatory journals

The editors of legitimate journals should also behave re-
to any journal. Authors, especially early-career and inexperienced research-
ers who are more likely to be victimized by predatory jour-
als, should use these resources before submitting their work
through email spams, invitations on social media
platforms, and a lack of knowledge about legitimate indexing
agencies, publishing practices, and scientometrics.

What Can Be Done to Avoid Getting Duped by Predatory Journals?

The current point system and the ‘publish to perish’ mantra
have been the major reason for which predatory journals have
flourished and succeeded in deceiving researchers [6]. The
situation is exacerbated by the lack of training about and con-
control over this issue in higher education institutions at any level
[7]. However, it should be noted that not all researchers who
publish in such journals are ‘prey,’ as some researchers deliber-
ately publish in such journals and get credit and promotions
by adding those papers to their curriculum vitae [10]. Recent-
ly, several ways have been proposed to assess the legitimacy of
a journal [7,10-12]. In this context, a recent paper with revised
terminology for differentiating between low-quality open-ac-
cess journals and deceptive journals might be helpful [10].
According to this terminology, the term ‘predatory’ may be
inappropriate, and such journals should instead be called ‘de-
ceptive’ journals, characterized by stating false or misleading
information about the peer review process, indexing agencies
and journal-level metrics (e.g., impact factor), and article pro-
cessing charges [10]. In general, such journals intend to dupe
authors through deceptive tactics and lack of transparency.
Authors, especially early-career and inexperienced research-
ers who are more likely to be victimized by predatory jour-
als, should use these resources before submitting their work
to any journal.

The editors of legitimate journals should also behave re-
sponsibly and avoid dubious practices such as unsolicited calls
for papers or displaying questionable indexing agencies or
dindication journals. These practices might be misleading for new and inexperienced researchers and those
from developing countries. Journal editors, whether from de-
veloping or developed countries, should therefore avoid any
practices that could raise doubts about their legitimacy or
place them on a list of journals using predatory practices [13].
Recent evidences suggest that pressure to ‘publish or perish,’ a
lack of awareness and research proficiency, and the belief that
reputable Western journals are prejudiced against authors
from the developing world are among the most common rea-
sons for publishing in predatory journals [6,14]. Therefore,
more effort is needed by reputable journals, organizations, and
higher education institutions to make researchers aware of the
problem of predatory journals [14].

What Should Be Done With the Literature Published in Predatory Journals?

Some ways have been proposed to counter predatory journals
ethically and legally [10,11], but such mechanisms are chal-
lenging to apply globally. However, the recent literature con-
tains some concrete, logical examples and suggestions of how
to deal with these journals, which may be generally acceptable
to a wider audience. For instance, recent cases of journal phish-
ing and journal hijacking/cybersquatting have been reported,
and ways to respond to such practices have been discussed
[11,15]. Another such case was reported, in which the authors
wrote an official retraction letter to a predatory journal, the re-
trac 
ion letter was accepted, and the authors re-wrote their pa-
per and published it in a legitimate journal, the Korean Journal of
Chemical Engineering [16]. A recent paper reported a similar
incident in which experienced researchers from a developing
country mistakenly submitted their work to a predatory jour-
nal in 2015 [6]. However, the submission was withdrawn by
the authors after a month. The manuscript was then submitted to a
legitimate journal in May 2016 and published in February
2017. Months later, the authors came to learn that their manu-
script had been mysteriously published in the 2015 issue of a
predatory journal of a different publisher. The authors sent a retraction letter to the predatory journal and the paper was removed. What did I do with my paper that I discussed earlier? That paper was published by a predatory giant, and I wrote an e-mail to them in March 2017.

The paper was published in 2014, so why did I retract it in 2017? The reason was to set an example. Papers published in predatory journals are considered “lost science” or “junk science” and I did not want such a paper to be cited in legitimate journals. Although the paper had already been cited in another paper, I did not want to be part of the crime any further, as it has been suggested that such papers should not be cited [17]. Thus, researchers are advised to follow the 5-point step-by-step approach given below.

First, authors should always check the journal before submitting an article and make sure that it is not predatory. Authors are advised to aim for journals indexed in legitimate and reputable databases. This not only ensures that their published work will be preserved, but also improves its visibility and dissemination. If a journal is new or has not been indexed in databases such as Medline/PubMed or Web of Science, there are ways to improve research visibility and dissemination. For instance, researchers can share their work on social networking sites such as Facebook (http://facebook.com), Twitter (http://twitter.com), ResearchGate (http://researchgate.net/), and other platforms to further enhance its visibility [18].

Second, if authors have submitted their work to a suspicious journal, they should not pay the publication charges without first confirming the legitimacy of the journal. The journal may claim that its office is in the USA or UK, while providing a bank account number in a South Asian country. Therefore, authors should be careful before paying anything to the journal.

Third, if a submitted paper has been accepted by a predatory journal, the authors should never sign a copyright agreement with the journal or publisher. In some cases, writing to the journal to withdraw the submitted or accepted manuscript before its publication may also be successful.

Fourth, if the paper is published online by a predatory journal even after the 3 measures listed above have been taken, the authors may write to the office of the predatory journal and ask them to withdraw the paper from their website. Although the authors are not guaranteed to get a response from a predatory journal, their paper might be taken down from the website. This advice is supported by the Committee on Publication Ethics and has proven to be successful [6,16,19]. The predatory journal, in some cases, may ask the authors to pay a withdrawal or retraction fee, but the authors should resist this and continue to insist that the journal retract their article.

Fifth, authors should never support predatory publishing. We must say “no” to predatory journals and publishers. This “no” applies both to publishing with them and to citing their work.

**Conclusion**

Authors from developing countries face many problems in scientific publishing due to a lack of funding, support, and training. Much work is ongoing to curtail predatory journals and publishers, but concrete situations, examples, and suggestions must be presented in order to train and educate young researchers and to make the existing community of scholars aware of these situations and ways to deal with them. Everyone must behave responsibly and ethically to restrict the spread of junk science. I hope that one day we will all be able to say “no” to these predatory journals and publishers. The story of the author’s journey from being a victim of predatory journals to taking steps to raise awareness against them can be found here: https://www.authoraid.info/en/news/details/1250/.
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